

Twisted exterior derivatives for enveloping algebras

Zoran Škoda

*Theoretical Physics Division, Institute Rudjer Bošković, Bijenička cesta 54,
P.O.Box 180, HR-10002 Zagreb, Croatia*

Abstract

We extend the representations of finite-dimensional Lie algebra by derivations of the completed symmetric algebra of its dual to the derivations of a bigger algebra which includes the exterior algebra on the Lie algebra. This enables a construction of a twisted version of the exterior differential calculus with the enveloping algebra in the role of the coordinate algebra. In this twisted version, the commutators between noncommutative differentials and coordinates are formal power series in partial derivatives. The square of the corresponding exterior derivative is zero like in the classical case, but the Leibniz rule is deformed.

Key words: universal enveloping algebra, exterior calculus, exterior derivative, deformed Leibniz rule, star product, Weyl algebra

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The twisted algebra of differential forms	3
3	Exterior derivative	5
	References	7

1 Introduction

1.1. Viewing the enveloping algebras of Lie algebras as deformations of symmetric algebras in our earlier article with S. MELJANAC [5] we have also deformed the (completed) Weyl algebra of differential operators, found deformed

Email address: zskoda@irb.hr (Zoran Škoda).

analogues of partial derivatives, and studied the deformations of Leibniz rules, all parametrized by certain datum which comes in many disguises as “orderings”, “representations by (co)derivations”, “realizations by vector fields” and “coalgebra isomorphisms between $S(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U(\mathfrak{g})$ ” (cf. also [1,6] and [4], Chap. 10). In the present article I will extend that picture to consistently include the exterior calculus in a unique way, given the datum mentioned above.

1.2. We fix an n -dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over a field $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic zero, with basis $\hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_n$, considered also as the generators of its universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and with the structure constants C_{ij}^s satisfying $[\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] = C_{ij}^s \hat{x}_s$. The antipode of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ will be denoted γ . To distinguish the elements of $\mathfrak{g}$ in the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{g})$, we denote them differently, by $x_1, \dots, x_n$ to emphasise that x_i -s commute, while $\hat{x}_i$ -s (in $U(\mathfrak{g})$) do not. The dual basis of $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is denoted by $\partial^1, \dots, \partial^n$. Throughout the article we fix a homomorphism of Lie algebra $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Der}(\hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*))$, or equivalently its extension to a Hopf action $\phi : U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{End}(\hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*))$. We require that the $n \times n$ matrix over $\hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ whose (i, j) -th entry is $\phi_i^j := \phi(-\hat{x}_i)(\partial^j)$ whose entries are formal power series, is invertible and even “close to the identity” symbolically written $\phi_i^j = \delta_i^j + O(\partial)$, and meaning that the image of the matrix (ϕ_i^j) under the ‘evaluation at 0 map’, that is under the projection $\hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow \hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*)/\cup S^{n>0}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \cong \mathbf{k}$, is the unit matrix. It is an easy fact ([5]) that the data for the map ϕ are equivalent to giving only the matrix $\phi = (\phi_i^j)$ which satisfies the formal differential equation

$$\phi_j^l \frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial^l)}(\phi_i^k) - \phi_i^l \frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial^l)}(\phi_j^k) = C_{ij}^s \phi_s^k. \quad (1)$$

On the other hand, the datum ϕ is equivalent to a coalgebra isomorphism $\xi = \xi_\phi : S(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$ (example: the symmetrization or coexponential map) which equals the identity when restricted to $\mathbf{k} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \subset S(\mathfrak{g})$. This isomorphism enables us to transport the linear operators from $S(\mathfrak{g})$ to $U(\mathfrak{g})$, and the images of the partial derivatives ∂^i are the deformed derivatives $\hat{\partial}^i = (\partial^i \blacktriangleright) := \xi \circ \partial^i \circ \xi^{-1}$ satisfying the deformed Leibniz rules studied in [5]. This rule extends to a Hopf action $\blacktriangleright$ of $\hat{S}(\mathfrak{g})$ on $U(\mathfrak{g})$. The left Hopf action $\phi : U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{End}(\hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*))$ and the corresponding right Hopf action $\gamma \circ \phi$ (where $\gamma : U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})^{op}$ is the antipode) induce the left and right smash product algebra structures $\hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \# U(\mathfrak{g}) \cong U(\mathfrak{g}) \# \hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ on the tensor products $\hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g}) \cong U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. It appears that the correspondence $\hat{x}_i \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \phi_i^j$, $\partial^j \mapsto \hat{\partial}^j$ extends uniquely to an isomorphism of algebras from the “ ϕ -twisted Weyl algebra” $U(\mathfrak{g}) \# \hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ to the n -th (semi)completed classical Weyl algebra $\hat{A}_{n, \mathbf{k}}$ whose underlying vector space is identified with $S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$; the inverse of that map is ensured by the invertibility of the matrix $\phi = (\phi_i^j)$. We can also take another point of view: $\hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \cong S(\mathfrak{g})^*$ can be considered as a topological Hopf algebra in pairing with $U(\mathfrak{g})$ via $\langle u, P \rangle_\phi = P(\xi_\phi^{-1}(u))(0)$ where $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $P \in S^*(\mathfrak{g})$ (considered as a differential operator – the evaluation at zero identifies the differential operators with functionals). This pairing is made Hopf pairing by

force: introducing the deformed 'dual' topological coproduct on $\hat{S}(\mathfrak{g})$ (agreeing with our "deformed Leibniz rules"). Then the action $\blacktriangleright$ above satisfies (in Sweedler notation) $P \blacktriangleright u = \sum \langle P, u_{(2)} \rangle_{\phi} u_{(1)}$. The smash product algebra for such an action coming from a Hopf pairing is called a Heisenberg double. Thus we have a Heisenberg double $\hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \#_{\blacktriangleright} U(\mathfrak{g})$ for *that* left Hopf action of topological Hopf algebra $\hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ on $U(\mathfrak{g})$ which is canonically isomorphic (via tautological map) to the algebra $\hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \# U(\mathfrak{g})$ above, but interpreted as a smash product algebra for the right Hopf action of the Hopf algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ on $\hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$.

2 The twisted algebra of differential forms

In our constructions it will be useful to distinguish notationally the generators of two different copies of the classical exterior algebra $\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g})$: in the first by $d\hat{x}^1, \dots, d\hat{x}^n$ and in the second by $dx_1, \dots, dx_n$. Both bases correspond to $\hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_n$ under $\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g})$.

2.1. Theorem. *Any Lie homomorphism $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Der}(\hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*))$ uniquely extends to a Lie homomorphism $\tilde{\phi} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Der}(\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*))$ satisfying*

$$\tilde{\phi}(\hat{x}_i)(d\hat{x}_l) = \sum_{k,r,s=1}^n d\hat{x}_k (\phi^{-1})_s^k \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial^r)} \phi_l^s \right) \phi_i^r. \quad (2)$$

Consequently, there is a further extension to a Hopf action

$$\tilde{\phi} : U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbf{k}}(\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*)).$$

In particular the left and right smash product algebras

$$U(\mathfrak{g}) \#_{\gamma \circ \tilde{\phi}} (\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \hat{S}(\mathfrak{g})) \cong (\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \hat{S}(\mathfrak{g})) \#_{\tilde{\phi}} U(\mathfrak{g})$$

, are well-defined. These two algebras are canonically isomorphic by the bijectivity of the antipode γ and we will call them the extended algebra of ϕ -twisted differential forms ('extended' for the presence of partial derivatives in the algebra). In this algebra, $\tilde{\phi}(\gamma \hat{u})(\omega) = [\dots [\omega, \hat{x}_1] \dots \hat{x}_n]$ for $\omega \in \Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ and $\hat{u} = \hat{x}_1 \dots \hat{x}_n \in U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proof. Every derivation of $\hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is continuous ([3]); the same statement holds for $\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$; also the restriction to $\hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ satisfies the chain rule. We need to prove $\tilde{\phi}(\hat{x}_i)\tilde{\phi}(\hat{x}_j) - \tilde{\phi}(\hat{x}_j)\tilde{\phi}(\hat{x}_i) - \sum_k C_{ij}^k \tilde{\phi}(\hat{x}_k) = 0$. Regarding that the restrictions of $\tilde{\phi}(\hat{x}_i)$ and $\phi(\hat{x}_i)$ to $\hat{S}(\mathfrak{g})$ agree, it is sufficient to show when applying on the generators $d\hat{x}_l$ of $\hat{S}(\mathfrak{g})$. To calculate $\tilde{\phi}(\hat{x}_i)\tilde{\phi}(\hat{x}_j)(d\hat{x}_l)$ notice that $\tilde{\phi}(\hat{x}_j)(d\hat{x}_l)$ is a sum of products of four terms: $d\hat{x}_k$ and 3 terms involving ϕ , so that the application of the Leibniz rule will give 4 different summands.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\phi}(\hat{x}_i)\tilde{\phi}(\hat{x}_j)(d\hat{x}_l) - \tilde{\phi}(\hat{x}_j)\tilde{\phi}(\hat{x}_i)(d\hat{x}_l) - \sum_k C_{ij}^k \tilde{\phi}(\hat{x}_k)(d\hat{x}_l) = \\
& = d\hat{x}_c(\phi^{-1})_d^c \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial^r)} \phi_b^d \right) \phi_j^r (\phi^{-1})_a^b \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial^s)} \phi_l^a \right) \phi_i^s - (i \leftrightarrow j) \\
& + d\hat{x}_c \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial^r)} (\phi^{-1})_j^c \right) \phi_j^r \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial^s)} \phi_l^a \right) \phi_i^s - (i \leftrightarrow j) \\
& + d\hat{x}_c(\phi^{-1})_a^c \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial^r)} \phi_l^a \right) \phi_j^r - (i \leftrightarrow j) \\
& + d\hat{x}_c(\phi^{-1})_a^c \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial^s)} \phi_l^a \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial^r)} \phi_i^s \right) \phi_j^r - (i \leftrightarrow j) \\
& - \sum_k C_{ij}^k d\hat{x}_c(\phi^{-1})_a^c \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial^s)} \phi_l^a \right) \phi_k^s
\end{aligned}$$

The first two rows on the right hand side cancel by the rule for the derivative of a matrix, the third is zero by symmetry under $(i \leftrightarrow j)$, and the last two rows cancel by (1). We also need to check that the extension by Leibniz rules to higher exterior powers is consistent with the antisymmetry relations:

$$\tilde{\phi}(\hat{x}_i)(d\hat{x}_r d\hat{x}_s) = d\hat{x}_k d\hat{x}_s (\phi^{-1})_a^k \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial^b)} \phi_r^a \right) \phi_i^b + d\hat{x}_r d\hat{x}_k (\phi^{-1})_a^k \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial^b)} \phi_s^a \right) \phi_i^b,$$

and the right-hand side is evidently antisymmetric in $(r \leftrightarrow s)$.

2.2. Proposition. *The extended algebra of twisted differential forms*

$$U(\mathfrak{g})_{\#_{\gamma \circ \tilde{\phi}}}(\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}))$$

is generated by the $3n$ symbols $d\hat{x}_i$, $\hat{x}_i$ and ∂^i , for $i = 1, \dots, n$, subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] &= C_{ij}^k \hat{x}_k, & [\partial^i, \partial^j] &= 0, & [\partial^j, \hat{x}_i] &= \phi_i^j, \\
[\partial^j, d\hat{x}_i] &= 0, & [d\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] &= d\hat{x}_s (\phi^{-1})_r^s \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial^l)} \phi_i^r \right) \phi_j^l.
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

2.3. Theorem. *If $\phi = (\phi_j^i)$ is close to the identity, the correspondence*

$$\hat{x}_i \mapsto \sum_j x_j \phi_i^j, \quad \partial^i \mapsto \partial^i, \quad d\hat{x}_i \mapsto \sum_j dx_j \phi_i^j$$

extends uniquely to an isomorphism

$$U(\mathfrak{g})_{\#_{\gamma \circ \tilde{\phi}}}(\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*)) \longrightarrow \Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \hat{A}_{n, \mathbf{k}}. \tag{4}$$

Here we use the convention that on the left hand side the generators of $\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g})$ are $d\hat{x}_i$ and on the right hand side dx_i ; this ensure that when we use the isomorphism as the identification no confusion happens (regarding that it does not send $d\hat{x}_i$ to dx_i).

2.3.1. Sometimes it is also good to take the point of view of the *intermediate algebra* $\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes (U(\mathfrak{g}) \# \hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*))$ which is also isomorphic to $\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \hat{A}_{n,\mathbf{k}}$: here the generators of $\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g})$ are also dx_i and the isomorphism on $U(\mathfrak{g}) \# \hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is $\hat{x}_i \mapsto \sum_k x_k \phi_j^k$ and $\partial^i \mapsto \partial^i$.

2.3.2. As in [5], we denote the composition $U(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}) \# \hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \cong \hat{A}_{n,\mathbf{k}}$ by $()^\phi$. Thus $\hat{x}_i^\phi = \sum_k x_k \phi_i^k$. We can extend this notation to the differential, but not to derivatives (because our isomorphism sends ∂^i to ∂^i (independent of ϕ , in a sense) while the operator $\hat{\partial}^i$ does depend on ϕ crucially, so this would lead to the confusion). Thus $d\hat{x}_i = \sum_k dx_k \phi_i^k \in \Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \hat{A}_{n,\mathbf{k}}$. Now if we commute in the image $\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \hat{A}_{n,\mathbf{k}}$ we get the same commutators as above (3), e.g. $[d\hat{x}_i^\phi, \hat{x}_j^\phi] = d\hat{x}_i^\phi (\phi^{-1})_r^s \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial^r)} \phi_j^r \right) \phi_j^l$. Thus the theorem **2.3** may be interpreted as a realization of the extended algebra of twisted differential forms in terms of ordinary differential forms and partial derivatives (allowing infinite series).

3 Exterior derivative

3.1. The operator

$$\hat{d} := \sum_{k,j} d\hat{x}_k (\phi^{-1})_j^k \hat{\partial}^j : U(\mathfrak{g}) \# (\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*)) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}) \# (\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*))$$

is called the **ϕ -twisted exterior derivative**. It is clear that this operator does not depend on the choice of basis. If we realize $\hat{x}_i$ as $\hat{x}_i^\phi \in \hat{A}_{n,\mathbf{k}}$ then in this realization, the abstract $\hat{d}$ can also be written as

$$\hat{d} = \sum_{k,j} d\hat{x}_k^\phi (\phi^{-1})_j^k \hat{\partial}^j = \sum_j dx_j \hat{\partial}^j$$

but is different from the **usual exterior derivative** $d = \sum_k dx_k \partial^k$. Namely, we need to warn the reader that $(\phi^{-1})_j^k = (\phi^{-1})_j^k(\partial)$ is the element of $\hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ while $\hat{\partial}^i = \partial^i \blacktriangleright$ is an operator, not considered here an element of the same copy of $\hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. In [5] we defined $\hat{\partial}^i$ as an operator on $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Here it is an operator on a bigger space: it agrees with usual $\hat{\partial}$ on $U(\mathfrak{g})$ while it commutes with ∂^j and $d\hat{x}_j$. We also need to distinguish $\hat{d}$ and d as d may act on the ϕ -realizations of differential forms.

3.2. Example. In symmetric ordering (that is, when ξ is the symmetrization (coexponential) map, cf. [4,5])

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{d}(\hat{x}_i \hat{x}_j) &= \hat{x}_j dx_i + \hat{x}_i dx_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k C_{ij}^k dx_k \\ d(\hat{x}_i^\phi \hat{x}_j^\phi) &= (d\hat{x}_i^\phi) \hat{x}_j^\phi + \hat{x}_i^\phi (d\hat{x}_j^\phi) \end{aligned}$$

3.3. Proposition. $\hat{d}$ preserves the subalgebra $\Lambda_U^*(\mathfrak{g}) = \Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes (U(\mathfrak{g}) \# \hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*)) \cong U(\mathfrak{g}) \# (\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*))$ generated by all dx_i and $\hat{x}_i$, while d preserves the subalgebra $\Lambda_\phi^*(\mathfrak{g}) = \Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})^\phi \subset \Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \hat{A}_{n,\mathbf{k}}$, generated by all $d\hat{x}_i^\phi$ and $\hat{x}_i^\phi$. Of course usual d also preserves the algebra of classical differential forms $\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes S(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by dx_i and x_i .

Notice that $\Lambda_U^*(\mathfrak{g})$ does *not* depend on the realization ϕ , while $\hat{d}$ does! Of course, $\Lambda_\phi^*(\mathfrak{g})$ depends on the realization. In our notation $U(\mathfrak{g})^\phi$ is the ϕ -realization of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and it is generated by $\hat{x}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

3.3.1. We say that $\Lambda_U^*(\mathfrak{g})$ is the algebra of **U -twisted differential forms** and $\Lambda_\phi^*(\mathfrak{g})$ the algebra of **ϕ -twisted differential forms**. Both may be considered subalgebras of the extended algebra of ϕ -twisted differential forms, or equivalently of the “intermediate algebra” (2.3.1); or of $\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \hat{A}_{n,\mathbf{k}}$.

3.4. Theorem. $\hat{d}^2 = 0$.

Proof. Regarding that $d\hat{x}_k$ commute with elements in $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)$,

$$\hat{d}^2 = \sum_{k,l,j,m} d\hat{x}_k d\hat{x}_l (\phi^{-1})_j^k \hat{\partial}^j (\phi^{-1})_m^l \hat{\partial}^m = \sum_{j,m} dx_j dx_m \hat{\partial}^j \hat{\partial}^m$$

is a contraction of the antisymmetric tensor $d\hat{x}_k d\hat{x}_l$ and the symmetric tensor $\sum_m (\phi^{-1})_j^k \hat{\partial}^j (\phi^{-1})_m^l \hat{\partial}^m$, hence zero.

3.5. Proposition. The usual Fock space action of $A_{n,\mathbf{k}}$ (and $\hat{A}_{n,\mathbf{k}}$) on $S(\mathfrak{g})$ extends to an action of $\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \hat{A}_{n,\mathbf{k}}$ on $\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes S(\mathfrak{g})$ tautological in the first tensor factor. The ϕ -deformed Fock space action of $U(\mathfrak{g}) \# \hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ on $U(\mathfrak{g})$ extends to an action of the intermediate subalgebra $\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes (\hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \# U(\mathfrak{g}))$ on its subalgebra $\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})$ tautological on the subalgebra $\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})$.

In the latter case, keep in mind that the elements in $\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g})$ commute with the elements in $\hat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, but the elements in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ do not commute with any of the two. The cyclic vectors for the two extended Fock spaces are still $|0\rangle = 1_{S(\mathfrak{g})}$ and $1_{U(\mathfrak{g})}$.

3.6. Theorem. Let $\hat{f} \in \Lambda_U^*(\mathfrak{g})$.

(i) Symbolically $(\Lambda_\phi^*(\mathfrak{g}))^\phi |0\rangle = \Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes S(\mathfrak{g})$. More precisely, the linear map sending $\hat{f} \in \Lambda_U^*(\mathfrak{g})$ to $\hat{f}^\phi |0\rangle$ sends $\Lambda_U^*(\mathfrak{g})$ into $\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes S(\mathfrak{g})$. This projection at vacuum in ϕ -realization is an **isomorphism of vector spaces** (we assume ϕ close to identity) with inverse $\text{id}_{\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g})} \otimes \xi : \Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes S(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})$.

(ii) $\hat{d}(d\hat{x}_s \hat{f}) = -d\hat{x}_s \hat{d}\hat{f}$, and $\hat{d}(dx_s \hat{f}) = -dx_s \hat{d}\hat{f}$;

(iii) $(\hat{d}\hat{f})|0\rangle = d(\hat{f}|0\rangle)$, where d on the right hand side denotes the usual exterior derivative.

3.7. Corollary. (Poincaré lemma) *If $\hat{f} \in \Lambda_U^*(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\hat{d}(\hat{f}) = 0$ then $\hat{f} = 0$.*

This follows from the classical Poincaré lemma, the isomorphism in **3.6** (i) and the property **3.6** (iii). In fact, one can “tensor this identity with $\hat{S}(\mathfrak{g})$ ”: if $\hat{d}\hat{f} = 0$ for $\hat{f}$ any element in the *extended* algebra of ϕ -twisted forms, we also have $\hat{f} = 0$. Indeed, $\hat{d}$ is not affecting additional derivatives in the game.

3.8. (Star product on U -twisted forms) Using the isomorphism $\text{id}_{\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g})} \otimes \xi_\phi =: \tilde{\xi}$ we can easily extend the star product $\star = \star_\phi$ on $S(\mathfrak{g})$ to an associative product on $\Lambda_U^*(\mathfrak{g}) = \Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})$ given by $f \star g = \tilde{\xi}^{-1}(\tilde{\xi}(f) \cdot_{\Lambda_U^*(\mathfrak{g})} \tilde{\xi}(g))$. For the deformed derivatives $\hat{\partial}^i$ the following holds ([5]): $\hat{\partial}^i(\xi(f) \star \xi(g)) = \xi(\partial^i(f \star g))$. This may be used to easily derive

Proposition. *If $\hat{f}, \hat{g} \in \Lambda_U^*(\mathfrak{g})$ then $\hat{d}(\tilde{\xi}(f)\tilde{\xi}(g)) = \tilde{\xi}(d(f \star g))$.*

This identity may probably be used to compare to the approach of [2] to the diff. forms for enveloping algebras in the setup of star products (and Drinfel’d twists).

References

- [1] G. AMELINO-CAMELIA, M. ARZANO, *Coproduct and star product in field theories on Lie-algebra non-commutative space-times*, Phys. Rev. D65:084044 (2002) [hep-th/0105120](#).
- [2] P. ASCHIERI, F. LIZZI, P. VITALE, *Twisting all the way: from classical mechanics to quantum fields*, [arXiv:0708.3002](#).
- [3] N. BOURBAKI, *Algebra*, Chapter 3
- [4] N. DUROV, S. MELJANAC, A. SAMAROV, Z. ŠKODA, *A universal formula for representing Lie algebra generators as formal power series with coefficients in the Weyl algebra*, Journal of Algebra 309, Issue 1, pp.318–359 (2007) [math.RT/0604096](#).
- [5] S. MELJANAC, Z. ŠKODA, *Leibniz rules for enveloping algebras*, [www.irb.hr/korisnici/zskoda/scopr4.dvi](#) (an old/obsolete version at [arXiv:0711.0149](#)).
- [6] E. PETRACCI, *Universal representations of Lie algebras by coderivations*, Bull. Sci. Math. 127 (2003), no. 5, 439–465; [math.RT/0303020](#)
- [7] S. MELJANAC, M. STOJIC, *New realizations of Lie algebra kappa-deformed Euclidean space*, Eur.Phys.J. C47 (2006) 531–539; [hep-th/0605133](#).